

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/483,175	01/13/2000	Chun R. Xia	BRIGP001 8040	
22830 75	90 04/14/2004		EXAMINER	
CARR & FERRELL LLP			HONG, STEPHEN S	
2200 GENG ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO,	CA 94303		2178	

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/483,175	XIA ET AL.	
ravious rodon	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Stephen S. Hong	2178	1
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appe	ears on the c ver sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		,
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final of th	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		NOTE	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	·	see NOTE below);	
(b) they are not deemed to place the application in	•	rially raduaina ar air	malifying the
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	npiliying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S. ·
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		í
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ind an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl	roved or b) disapproved by t	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449)	—· /	
10. Other:		Stephens. P Primary exam	
			•





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Contrary to the Appliant's assertion that "the act o editing an animation sequence ...[is[that these attributes would be associated with the animation sequence and would describe characteristics of the animation sequence. But, the animation sequence of Gever et al. would be considered a marking object not a markeing object container, the claim only calls for "associating a marketing attirbute with the marketing object." Since the claim does not specify what extent the "association" has to be, the attribute being associated to the marketing object, i.e., animation, which is associated to the marketing container, ie., the template, shows the indirect association of the attribute to the marketing container.